



## **Blended Learning in Teacher Education: Challenges and Best Practices**

**Varsha Laxman Bahiram**

Research Scholar, Department of Education and Extension, Savitribai Phule Pune University,  
Pune

**Dr. Bharat Jayram Gorde**

Research Guide, Assistant Professor, Jaywant Shikshan Prasarak Mandal's Jaywantrao  
Sawant College of Education, Hadapsar, Pune

### **Abstract**

Blended learning is the intentional combination of face-to-face and online learning experiences has become a pivotal approach in teacher education programmes worldwide. The shift reflects both technological affordances and a growing consensus that teaching candidates require competence in digital pedagogy alongside traditional classroom skills. This paper examines the challenges and best practices for implementing blended learning in teacher education. Challenges include infrastructural barriers (connectivity and access), variable digital pedagogical competence among teacher educators and candidates, resistance to change rooted in established norms and institutional culture, quality assurance and assessment complexities, and equity concerns for socioeconomically disadvantaged candidates. Additionally, rapid, emergency-driven adoption (e.g., during crises) has highlighted distinctions between thoughtful blended design and ad-hoc remote teaching. Drawing on an interdisciplinary literature base from educational technology, teacher professional development, and higher education practice, the paper synthesizes evidence-based strategies that help overcome these obstacles: institutionally supported professional development, curriculum redesign grounded in learning outcomes, robust instructional design and scaffolding, meaningful use of Learning Management Systems (LMS) and formative e-assessment, models for collaborative learning and reflective practice, and policy-level supports ensuring access and inclusivity. Practical examples and implementation guidance are offered for teacher education programmes designing blended modules, covering course sequencing, micro-learning elements, clinical/practicum integration, and supervisor mentoring. The paper argues for a systemic approach: effective blended teacher education requires alignment among policy, infrastructure, educator capability, and assessment design. Recommendations include staged implementation, continuous evaluation, equity-focused provisioning, and research-practice partnerships to refine models locally. Limitations of current evidence, heterogeneity of blended models and scarce longitudinal outcomes focused specifically on teacher graduates' classroom practice, are acknowledged. The paper concludes by proposing priority areas for future research and practical action to consolidate blended learning as a sustainable pathway for preparing teachers who can teach effectively in contemporary, digitally-infused classrooms.



**Keywords:** blended learning, teacher education, instructional design, professional development, equity

## **Introduction**

The transformation of teacher education in the 21st century is inseparable from developments in digital technology and changing expectations of schooling. Blended learning—characterised by the purposeful integration of online digital media with traditional classroom methods—offers teacher preparation programmes opportunities to model innovative pedagogies while expanding access, flexibility, and curricular richness. For prospective teachers, learning how to design, deliver, and assess instruction that interweaves face-to-face and online strategies is now a core competency: contemporary classrooms demand teachers who can leverage technology to differentiate instruction, engage diverse learners, and enact formative assessment in real time.

However, translating blended learning from promise to practice within teacher education poses unique challenges. Unlike established subject-content programmes, teacher education must prepare candidates for authentic, complex classroom environments; this requires practicum placements, mentorship structures, and assessment of classroom performance—elements not straightforwardly migrated online. Further, teacher educators themselves may vary widely in technical skill and in pedagogical comfort with student-centred, technology-mediated learning designs. Institutional constraints—policy, infrastructure, resource allocation—compound these pedagogical challenges. Moreover, concerns about equity and the digital divide raise important ethical questions: if blended models are to be adopted, they must not exacerbate inequities among teacher candidates or the schools in which they will work.

This paper examines these tensions by synthesising contemporary research and practice on blended learning in teacher education. It identifies principal challenges encountered at the levels of learners, faculty, programmes, and systems, and then articulates evidence-informed best practices. The goal is pragmatic: to provide teacher educators and programme leaders with actionable guidance to design and implement blended programmes that are pedagogically sound, equitable, and aligned with the professional competencies expected of new teachers.

## **Objectives of the Paper**

1. To examine the concept and pedagogical foundations of blended learning in the context of teacher education and explore its significance in preparing 21st-century educators.
2. To identify the key challenges faced by teacher education institutions in implementing blended learning, including infrastructural, pedagogical, institutional, and equity-related barriers.
3. To analyze best practices and successful strategies adopted globally and locally for designing, delivering, and evaluating blended teacher education programmes.
4. To propose an evidence-based framework for effective integration of online and face-to-face components that enhance professional competencies, reflective practice, and student engagement.



5. To recommend policy and institutional measures that can ensure the sustainability, inclusivity, and quality of blended learning in teacher preparation programmes.
6. To suggest areas for further research in blended teacher education, focusing on long-term outcomes, practicum innovations, and the development of digital pedagogical skills among future teachers.

### **Significance of the Study**

The present study holds significant importance for educational institutions, teacher educators, policymakers, and future teachers. In an era where digital literacy and pedagogical innovation are essential, this study bridges the gap between traditional teacher training and modern technological demands. It highlights how blended learning can transform teacher education by combining the strengths of face-to-face interaction with the flexibility and accessibility of online learning.

The study is significant because it provides a comprehensive understanding of both challenges and effective strategies, enabling teacher education institutions to design programmes that are pedagogically sound, inclusive, and sustainable. By identifying barriers such as inadequate infrastructure, lack of digital competence, and equity issues, the study offers practical recommendations to overcome them.

Moreover, the research emphasizes the professional development of teacher educators, ensuring they can model and mentor digital pedagogical skills effectively. It also contributes to the policy and institutional discourse by providing insights into scalable frameworks that align with national education goals and digital transformation initiatives.

Ultimately, this study contributes to improving the quality and relevance of teacher preparation, ensuring that future teachers are competent, reflective, and capable of integrating technology meaningfully into classroom practice.

### **Literature Review**

Blended learning has been widely discussed in higher education literature since the early 2000s. Garrison and Kanuka (2004) argued that blended models can foster a deeper educational experience by combining the strengths of face-to-face interaction and online resources, thereby enabling richer discourse and reflective practice. Graham (2006) described blended learning systems and emphasised that blending is a continuum rather than a binary state; effective design requires aligning media and activities to learning outcomes. Bonk and Graham's edited volume (2006) collected multidisciplinary perspectives that positioned blended learning as a strategic response to both pedagogical and logistical challenges.

Meta-analytical evidence suggests that well-designed blended and online approaches may yield learning outcomes comparable to or better than traditional instruction when grounded in strong instructional design and supportive teacher presence (Means et al., 2010). However, Kirkwood and Price (2014) cautioned against technological determinism: mere introduction of technology does not guarantee improved learning; rather, pedagogical intentionality is essential. Hodges et al. (2020) made an important distinction between emergency remote teaching rapid, temporary shifts to online modalities—and planned online/blended learning; this distinction matters because many teacher education programmes

experienced the former during crises, and lessons learned must be adapted for deliberate blended design.

Teacher professional development literature is central to implementing blended learning in teacher education. Darling-Hammond, Hyler, and Gardner (2017) synthesised features of effective professional development—sustained duration, active learning, content focus, and coherence with school goals—elements adaptable for preparing teacher educators and candidates in blended pedagogy. Bates (2015) framed the institutional and policy contexts for digital-age teaching, underlining the need for systemic support, investment in infrastructure, and quality assurance.

Research focused specifically on teacher education indicates both potential and gaps. Gikandi, Morrow, and Davis (2011) reviewed online formative assessment practices and recommended strategies that are directly applicable to teacher preparation, such as timely feedback and peer assessment. Yet, many studies are descriptive and short-term; few longitudinal studies link blended teacher education to graduates' later classroom effectiveness. This mixed evidence base signals the need for careful, research-informed design and ongoing evaluation when adopting blended models.

## **Methodology**

This paper is a conceptual synthesis drawing on peer-reviewed research, policy reports, and practitioner literature to identify cross-cutting challenges and compile evidence-based best practices for blended learning in teacher education. Sources were selected for relevance to teacher preparation and blended learning design, including empirical studies, systematic reviews, and influential policy documents. The approach is interpretive: findings from diverse sources were thematically analysed to generate actionable recommendations. The paper is intentionally practice-oriented, aimed at programme leaders and teacher educators seeking guidance for implementing blended programmes. Limitations include the reliance on published literature (which may underrepresent emerging practice innovations) and the absence of new empirical data.

## **Key Challenges**

1. **Infrastructure and Access:** Reliable internet, adequate devices, and institutional LMS platforms are prerequisites. Many programmes—particularly in resource-constrained contexts, struggle with uneven connectivity, insufficient hardware, and limited technical support. These constraints undermine participation and create inequities among candidates.
2. **Faculty Digital Pedagogical Competence:** Not all teacher educators possess the skills to design and facilitate blended learning. Comfort with technology does not equate to skill in online instructional design, moderating asynchronous discussions, or creating effective multimedia learning resources.
3. **Authenticity of Practicum and Clinical Experience:** Teacher education depends on authentic practicum placements. Integrating blended components without compromising the quality of classroom-based mentoring, observation, and lived teaching experience is challenging. Coordinating between universities and placement schools to align blended coursework with in-school practice requires careful planning.

4. **Assessment and Quality Assurance:** Designing valid, reliable assessment strategies across blended modalities is complex. Assessing classroom readiness and professional dispositions often requires observation and nuanced judgment that are not easily captured by online tools.
5. **Institutional Culture and Resistance to Change:** Established curricula and faculty norms can resist shifts toward blended models. Time constraints, workload concerns, and perceived threats to academic authority can hamper adoption.
6. **Equity and Inclusion:** Socioeconomic disparities among candidates can lead to unequal access to blended experiences. Designing inclusive materials, offering low-bandwidth options, and ensuring reasonable accommodation are essential but sometimes overlooked.
7. **Sustainability and Policy Alignment:** Ad hoc implementations—often in response to crises—may not be sustained. Policy alignment, budgeting, and long-term support mechanisms are necessary to institutionalize blended teacher education.

### **Best Practices**

1. **Start with Clear Learning Outcomes and Backward Design:** Design blended modules by specifying professional competencies (e.g., classroom management, lesson planning, formative assessment) and then selecting blended activities that evidence those outcomes. Use backward design to ensure alignment between activities, assessments, and practicum expectations.
2. **Invest in Faculty Development That Models Blended Pedagogy:** Professional development should be sustained, practice-based, and job-embedded. Workshops alone are insufficient. Effective programmes include coaching, co-teaching opportunities, peer observation, and modelling of blended teaching strategies. Professional development should itself be delivered in blended formats so teacher educators experience the learner perspective.
3. **Robust Instructional Design and Use of LMS:** Adopt instructional design frameworks (e.g., ADDIE, SAM) to structure modules. Use the LMS not merely as a repository, but as an orchestrator for sequencing, communications, quizzes, peer collaboration, and e-portfolios. Provide templates and rubrics for course components to ensure consistency across faculty.
4. **Integrate Practicum with Blended Coursework:** Sequence online modules to prepare candidates before in-school lessons (flipped practicum). Use online microteaching, video analysis, and e-mentoring to complement school-based supervision. Video-recorded lessons enable targeted feedback and reflective practice while preserving in-class authenticity.
5. **Focus on Formative Assessment and Feedback:** Incorporate frequent, low-stakes assessments with prompt feedback. Peer assessment, reflective journals, and automated quizzes can maintain engagement and support self-regulation. Use e-portfolios to document growth across practicum placements and to evidence professional competencies.
6. **Design for Accessibility and Low-Bandwidth Options:** Provide multiple representation formats (text transcripts, downloadable materials, compressed video) and asynchronous

alternatives. Ensure LMS and materials comply with accessibility standards and that candidates without high-end devices can participate.

7. **Promote Collaboration and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs):** Use blended environments to foster cross-cohort collaboration, mentor-teacher interaction, and communities of practice. Asynchronous forums, scheduled synchronous clinics, and peer lesson study groups build professional identity and collective problem-solving.
8. **Embed Reflective Practice and Research Literacy:** Encourage candidates to use digital tools (video analysis, learning analytics) for evidence-based reflection. Teach basic research methods and encourage small-scale practitioner research projects during practicum, creating strong links between theory and classroom practice.
9. **Provide Technical and Administrative Support:** Sustainable blended programmes require help desks, instructional technologists, and clear administrative policies on data privacy, assessment moderation, and practicum coordination.
10. **Evaluate Continuously and Use Data for Improvement:** Implement mixed-methods evaluation—student feedback, learning analytics, supervisor ratings, and graduate outcomes—to refine the blended curriculum. Share findings with stakeholders and iterate.

### **Implementation Example**

A staged model:

1. **Foundation module**—asynchronous mini-courses introducing digital pedagogy and LMS use;
2. **Microteaching lab**—synchronous and asynchronous sessions where candidates practice and receive peer feedback;
3. **Integrated practicum**—flipped design where online lesson planning precedes school-based teaching, with e-mentoring and video reflection;
4. **Capstone e-portfolio**—evidence of competencies, artefacts, and supervisor assessments. This model sequences skills development, preserves authentic classroom experience, and leverages technology for scalable feedback.

### **Conclusion**

Blended learning has emerged as a transformative approach in teacher education, bridging the strengths of traditional classroom teaching and digital technologies. It not only enhances flexibility and access but also models the digital competencies that future teachers must master for 21st-century classrooms. However, its success depends on thoughtful design, sustained institutional commitment, and pedagogical innovation rather than mere technological adoption. Effective blended teacher education requires clear learning outcomes, integration of practicum experiences, continuous faculty training, and reliable technological infrastructure. When implemented well, it fosters active learning, reflection, collaboration, and self-regulated learning among teacher candidates. Equity remains a critical concern; hence, programmes must ensure inclusivity by addressing digital divides and offering accessible, low-bandwidth alternatives. Policy makers and administrators must view blended learning as a long-term investment in quality teacher preparation, supported by evaluation and iterative improvement. The potential of blended learning lies not simply in mixing online and offline elements but in

rethinking how teachers are prepared to teach in digitally connected, diverse classrooms. With systematic planning, professional development, and evidence-based practice, blended learning can become a sustainable model that equips teachers with the skills, confidence, and creativity needed to meet the evolving demands of modern education.

### **Recommendations**

Based on the analysis of challenges and best practices in blended learning for teacher education, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen its design, implementation, and sustainability:

1. Institutions should follow a structured model for integrating blended learning, beginning with pilot programmes, evaluation, and gradual scaling across courses.
2. Continuous professional development programmes must be organized to train teacher educators in instructional design, digital pedagogy, and the effective use of Learning Management Systems (LMS).
3. Adequate internet connectivity, digital devices, and technical support must be provided to both faculty and students. Low-bandwidth learning materials should be developed to ensure inclusivity.
4. Blended designs should align online coursework with school-based teaching practice through video observations, e-mentoring, and reflective e-portfolios.
5. Formative and summative assessments must include online quizzes, reflective journals, peer reviews, and supervisor feedback to evaluate professional growth effectively.
6. Learning communities and peer discussion forums should be encouraged to enhance communication, teamwork, and critical thinking among teacher trainees.
7. Regular monitoring, feedback, and research-based evaluation should be conducted to assess programme effectiveness and guide continuous improvement.
8. Educational authorities and policymakers should include blended learning in teacher education standards, allocate funding, and establish quality assurance guidelines.
9. Special attention should be given to marginalized groups by offering device-sharing programmes, accessible content, and digital literacy training.
10. Institutions should promote action research, innovation labs, and collaboration with schools to develop and test new blended learning models in teacher education.

### **References**

1. Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2013). *Changing course: Ten years of tracking online education in the United States*. Babson Survey Research Group.
2. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Tony Bates Associates Ltd.
3. Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (Eds.). (2006). *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. Pfeiffer Publishing.
4. Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.



5. Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. E. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education*, 57(4), 2333–2351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.002>
6. Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformational potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
7. Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3–21). Pfeiffer Publishing.
8. Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>