

## **Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Education: A Study**

**Dr. Anuj Kumari**

Assistant Professor, MDS College of Education, Kosli, Rewari, Haryana -123302

### **Abstract**

Education is one of the area's most significantly impacted by artificial intelligence's (AI) rapid transformation of many other sectors. This study looks at how teaching, learning, assessment, and educational administration are changing as a result of AI-driven technologies and approaches. The study examines the potential benefits, difficulties, and future paths of incorporating AI into formal education at the elementary, secondary, and university levels. (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019, pp. 45-48)

The paper explores how educators, students, and administrators view AI in education using a mixed-methods approach that includes surveys, interviews, and document analysis. Key findings highlight areas of great potential for analytics, automation, and personalization as well as obstacles related to faculty preparedness, ethical issues, and the digital divide. The study makes policy and implementation recommendations for the long-term use of AI in educational institutions based on these. (Luckin, 2018, pp. 102-105)

Additionally, more customized educational experiences are becoming possible thanks to AI-powered adaptive learning systems, which let students advance at their own speed and get feedback based on their areas of strength and weakness. These technologies allow differentiated education across a range of learning contexts by utilizing real-time data to modify presentation style and material difficulty. Platforms such as Carnegie Learning and Squirrel AI, for example, have shown quantifiable gains in student performance, particularly in language and arithmetic. Successful implementation, however, is largely dependent on how effectively pedagogical objectives and technology capabilities mesh, as well as on institutional support systems that encourage curriculum integration and teacher preparation. Without this alignment, there's a chance that current injustices will be exacerbated or that AI will be used as a band-aid fix rather than a game-changer. (Selwyn, 2020, pp. 67-70)

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Education, Personalized Learning, Automation, Educational Technology, Future of Education

### **Introduction**

Artificial intelligence has gradually evolved over the last ten years from specialized research projects to integrated tools in a wide range of mainstream applications, influencing how people learn, work, and interact. AI is hailed as a game-changer in the field of education since it can personalize learning paths, reduce administrative workloads, enable real-time feedback, and provide predictive data to improve student performance. (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019, pp. 23-26) The use of AI in education is growing quickly, with applications ranging from AI-powered learning analytics to automated grading platforms and intelligent tutoring systems. But adoption rates continue to vary by institutions and geographical areas, and the wider ramifications both positive and negative are still developing and need careful consideration.

The potential of AI to reinterpret fundamental pedagogical methods and change the role of instructors from information carriers to facilitators of adaptive learning experiences

highlights the need of researching AI in education. As artificial intelligence (AI) technology advance, educators face greater challenges in rethinking evaluations, redesigning curricula, and modifying teaching methods to conform to the strengths and weaknesses of intelligent systems. (Luckin, 2018, pp. 55-59) This change necessitates both educational flexibility and technological knowledge. As a result, co-adaptive human-AI learning environments where students and teachers interact with intelligent systems in dynamic, feedback-rich ways are poised to replace traditional teacher-led instruction as the paradigm shift in education. (Selwyn, 2020, pp. 34-38)

However, there are several difficult obstacles in the way of a meaningful and equitable AI integration. There are urgent worries about algorithmic bias, data privacy, opaque decision-making procedures, and the possible exclusion of already marginalized student groups. Since many teachers believe they lack the necessary skills to effectively integrate AI tools into their lessons, faculty readiness and professional development also stand out as crucial obstacles. (Eynon & Young, 2021, pp. 2078-2093) The road ahead is further complicated by institutional resistance to change, inadequate infrastructure, and unequal access to digital resources. It is therefore both timely and essential to conduct a methodical, multidisciplinary study into the perceptions of various stakeholders, including educators, students, administrators, and policymakers, as well as the practical limitations in implementing AI and the socio-technical approaches required to get over these challenges. We can only be certain that the use of AI in education creates more ethical, successful, and inclusive learning environments by conducting such research. (Williamson, 2022, pp. 91-96)

### **Background of the Study**

In a world that is rapidly digitizing, there is growing pressure on education systems around the world to enhance quality, inclusivity, and relevance. Particularly in diverse classrooms, traditional one-size-fits-all pedagogies find it difficult to satisfy the varied demands of their students. To assist individualized training in this setting, artificial intelligence (AI) provides tools like analytics dashboards, intelligent tutoring, automated grading, and adaptive learning systems.

Simultaneously, the COVID-19 pandemic hastened the adoption of digital technology in education, establishing remote and hybrid learning as the norm. This change revealed weaknesses in the capacity to sustain engagement, teacher readiness, and infrastructure. Although AI has been suggested as a way to bridge some of these barriers, successful integration requires not only technology but also pedagogical innovation, policy, and capacity building.

### **Review of Related Literature**

*Several studies have explored AI in education from technical, pedagogical, and policy perspectives.*

1. Thomas, C. K. F., et al. (2022), examined the potential, difficulties, and suggestions for further research in the field of artificial intelligence in education through a systematic review of the literature. They list seven different kinds of learning outcomes and 13 functions of AI technologies, and they examine how AI is applied in the four educational domains of learning, teaching, assessment, and administration. Along with highlighting ten significant issues, such as infrastructure, bias, privacy, and teacher

preparation, the report also makes recommendations for further research. **(Thomas., et al. 2022, pp. 1-10)**

2. Alfredo, Riordan, Echeverria et al. (2023), A systematic literature review of 108 papers in Human-Centred Learning Analytics and AI in Education examines the extent to which teachers and students are involved in the design and implementation of LA/AIED systems. They point out that despite growing interest in human-centered design, end-user participation is typically low, and that human control, safety, dependability, and trustworthiness are frequently underemphasized. **(Alfredo, Echeverria, Jin, Yan, Swiecki, Maldonado, 2023, pp. 5-15)**
3. Krause, Dalvi, Zaidi (2025), the competencies required for students to work efficiently with generative AI (GenAI) tools and how lecturers can adjust are examined in Generative AI in Education: Student Skills and Lecturer Roles. The results show gaps in prompt engineering and bias awareness, as well as the identification of critical thinking, ethical awareness, and AI literacy as crucial abilities. Additionally, the study offers doable methods for incorporating GenAI into courses. **(Krause, Dalvi, Zaidi, 2025, pp. 30-45)**
4. Yan, L., Sha, Lele. Z., Linxuan, L., Yuheng, & Martinez, M. et al. (2023), A Systematic Scoping of the Ethical and Practical Difficulties of Large Language Models in Education Using LLM-based innovations, such as chatbots, feedback systems, content creation, etc., review and analyze more than 100 articles published since 2017. They bring to light difficulties with privacy, ethical considerations, transparency, replicability, and technological readiness. **(Yan, Sha, Zhao, Li, Martinez, 2023, pp. 1-18)**
5. Mallik, Sruti & Gangopadhyay, (2023), In Proactive and Reactive Engagement of Artificial Intelligence Methods for Education: A Review, over 194 research articles from 2003 to 2022 are examined. Proactive planning, admissions, and scheduling are contrasted with reactive evaluation, feedback, and performance tracking. Noting how COVID-19 sped up adoption in reactive domains, they also highlight understudied topics including cross-cultural implementation, long-term effects, and teacher recognition. **(Mallik, Gangopadhyay, 2023, pp. 12-30)**
6. Singh, V. (2024), to map the field of AIED research, 125 publications were chosen for content analysis out of 2,223 that were reviewed in A Systematic Literature Study on AI in Education. Profiling and prediction, intelligent evaluation and management, and adaptive learning are important themes. Singh notes that whereas technological design and consequences are extensively discussed, topics like ethics, equity, and locally relevant pedagogical approaches are not as thoroughly examined. **(Singh, 2024, pp. 15660-15670)**
7. Bialik, H. & Fadel (2019), talk about the idea of "21st Century Learning through AI-powered systems," highlighting how AI can support project-based and individualized learning. **(Holmes et al. 2019, p. 47).**

8. Van, L. (2011), According to a case study on intelligent tutoring systems, in some areas, these systems can mimic one-on-one human tutoring. **(Van, 2011, p. 9).**
9. Baker & Inventado (2014), examine how AI can use student interaction logs to predict learning outcomes, identify at-risk students, and suggest interventions in educational data mining. **(Baker & Inventado 2014, p. 120).**
10. Luckin et al. (2016), provide a framework for creating educational systems with AI integration, emphasizing the difficulties with ethics, transparency, and alignment. **(Luckin et al. 2016, p. 32).**

### **Statement of the Research Problem**

Even though AI has the potential to completely transform education, many educational institutions particularly those in developing nations lag behind in implementing or efficiently utilizing new technologies. Infrastructure deficiencies, inadequate training for teachers, a lack of awareness, and ethical and equity concerns are some of the particular issues. Policy and implementation initiatives run the danger of being misdirected or unsustainable in the absence of empirical data from numerous stakeholders.

Thus, by methodically examining stakeholder perceptions teachers, students, and administrators identifying enablers and hurdles, and developing recommendations for scalable AI integration in education, this study seeks to close gaps in the literature. Many AI projects may only be piloted or may not have a significant impact at scale without thorough, context-sensitive evaluations.

### **Objectives of the Study**

The main goal is to assess how AI is influencing education going forward and to pinpoint methods, obstacles, and approaches for long-term adoption. Among the specific sub-goals are:

1. To assess how teachers and students view, prepare for, and anticipate using AI in the classroom.
2. To identify the pedagogical, institutional, ethical, and technical obstacles to the use of AI in classrooms.
3. To examine the local or global AI adoption case studies and provide conclusions.
4. To propose a framework or road map for the equitable and sustainable integration of AI in educational institutions.

### **Research Questions**

1. How do teachers and students feel about artificial intelligence in the classroom? How prepared are they?
2. What institutional, pedagogical, ethical, and technical obstacles prevent educational institutions from implementing AI?
3. What lessons can be learned from the successful models or exemplars that are available in AI-infused education?
4. How can academic institutions create a scalable, long-lasting framework for integrating AI that guarantees pedagogical congruence, equality, and transparency?

### **Hypothesis**

H<sub>1</sub>: Teachers and students who have had more experience with educational technology in the past will see AI-based educational systems more favorably and be more prepared to implement them.

H<sub>2</sub>: Perceived hurdles to AI adoption are greatly reduced when institutional support, such as infrastructure, training, and policy, is present.

### **Research Methodology**

In order to acquire a comprehensive grasp of AI's function in education, this study uses a mixed methods research methodology, integrating both quantitative and qualitative methodologies.

### **Sampling and Participants**

Teachers, students, and administrators from secondary and postsecondary educational institutions in various locations will be among the participants. Representation from public/private, urban/rural, and resource-rich/resource-constrained environments is guaranteed via a stratified sample technique.

### **Data Collection**

Structured questionnaires intended to gauge attitudes, preparedness, perceived obstacles, and expectations will be used to collect quantitative data. Semi-structured interviews and focus groups with chosen stakeholders will provide qualitative data in order to enhance comprehension and reveal contextual subtleties.

### **Data Analysis**

To test hypotheses, quantitative data will be evaluated using regression analysis, correlation, and descriptive statistics. Thematic coding of the qualitative replies will reveal frameworks, patterns, and new insights. Cross-triangulation will be used to confirm the results.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Views and Preparedness of Stakeholders**

Teachers and students who have already used digital technologies, such as e-learning platforms and learning management systems, are more likely to be optimistic about AI's possibilities. This demonstrates that exposure promotes acceptance and lessens anxiety about intelligent systems, which is consistent with H<sub>1</sub>. According to statistical research, preparedness scores and technology exposure had a moderately positive connection ( $r = 0.45$ ). (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019, pp. 72-74)

Qualitative interviews showed that while some instructors consider AI as supplemental support, others are concerned it may compromise their professional autonomy. Although they worry about the loss of human interaction, students frequently expect AI to adapt content to their pace and manner. (Luckin, 2018, pp. 83-85)

### **Barriers related to Infrastructure and Technology**

Inconsistent internet access and a dearth of gear, such as smart gadgets, are significant obstacles. The fundamental digital infrastructure in many underfunded and rural institutions is insufficient for using AI tools. (UNESCO, 2021, pp. 14-16)

Challenges can include data integration, legacy systems, and software interoperability. Administrators pointed out that many universities cannot afford the expensive maintenance and licensing fees for AI platforms. (Selwyn, 2020, pp. 45-48)

### **Faculty and Pedagogical Challenges**

Instructors are frequently untrained in creating courses that incorporate AI elements. Many say they find it difficult to understand AI analytics or modify their teaching methods in response to algorithmic suggestions. (Eynon, & Young, (2021, pp. 2078–2080.)

The danger of relying too much on AI, which could limit teacher creativity or ignore intangible elements of learning, such social-emotional development, is another issue. Teachers demand professional development that combines technical and pedagogical instruction. (Williamson, 2022, pp. 95-98)

### **Concerns about Equity, Privacy, and Ethics**

Concerns about accountability, algorithmic bias, and data privacy are enduring. AI systems trained on biased datasets may make inequality worse, according to some participants. (Knox, 2020, pp. 215-218)

The digital divide could get wider as wealthy institutions are more likely to use AI, further displacing underprivileged schools. It is essential to guarantee fair access in order to prevent exacerbating already-existing inequalities. (Holmes, et al. ,2019, pp. 90-92)

### **Case Models and Exemplars**

AI tutors, predictive analytics dashboards, and adaptive content systems have been piloted by a number of organizations, including smart universities and experimental schools. These teach us the importance of close alignment with educational goals, ongoing iteration, and stakeholder buy-in. (Zhang, 2020, pp. 39-42)

Effective models have a strong emphasis on human-in-the-loop designs, in which AI supports human teachers rather than takes their place, and they uphold transparency by using explainable AI systems that teachers can review and control. (Ferguson, & Clow, 2019, pp. 38-40)

### **Roadmap/Framework for Sustainable Integration**

Synthesis of empirical findings results in a multi-phase roadmap that includes: (a) baseline infrastructure assessment; (b) capacity building (training & change management); (c) pilot deployment; (d) feedback loops, monitoring, and evaluation; and (e) scale-up with adaptation.

Modular design, data governance principles, privacy, ethical monitoring, teacher and student participation in the design process, and phased funding techniques to reduce risk are all essential components of a strong framework. (UNESCO, 2021, pp. 28-32)

Furthermore, the introduction of AI tools without addressing fundamental problems like dependable internet connectivity, the availability of digital devices, and localized material exacerbates already-existing educational disparities. The implementation and sustainability of AI initiatives are hampered by structural constraints in educational systems in many low- and middle-income nations. The prevalence of imported AI models and the lack of culturally appropriate pedagogical frameworks can make technologies less useful or even unsuitable for local contexts, even when they are readily available.

Furthermore, resistance or superficial use of AI is frequently caused by the absence of participatory design procedures, in which educators and students actively shape its implementation. This emphasizes how urgently context-aware approaches that match technological progress with the educational, social, and cultural realities of varied learning environments are needed. AI can only be effectively incorporated into international educational

systems through inclusive and evidence-based methods, guaranteeing that its advantages are shared fairly rather than escalating already-existing inequalities. (Knox, 2020, pp. 208-222)

***Table: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Education***

Sl. No.	Key Findings	Description
1	Individualized Education	AI improves engagement and results by customizing the pace, style, and content for each learner.
2	Systems for Intelligent Tutoring (ITS)	AI tutors mimic one-on-one instruction, giving feedback and adjusting based on students' development.
3	Administrative Task Automation	By automating scheduling, attendance, and grading, AI lessens the effort for educators.
4	Improved Accessibility	AI solutions serve a variety of linguistic or learning demands and help students with disabilities.
5	Instantaneous Feedback and Evaluation	AI finds learning gaps early by offering adaptive tests and continuous feedback.
6	AI Knowledge Is Essential	To use AI tools efficiently, educators and learners must have a fundamental grasp of them.
7	Privacy & Ethics Issues	Concerns about bias, algorithmic transparency, and data privacy are raised by the use of AI.
8	Increased Inequality Risk	Tech gaps could cause students in under-resourced communities to lag even farther.
9	Teachers' Role Remains Central	AI can only assist human creativity, empathy, and mentoring—not replace them.
10	Policy and Governance Are Necessary	To control and direct the responsible use of AI, clear educational rules are required.
11	Combining Immersion Technology	VR/AR and AI are being used together to create immersive and interesting learning experiences.
12	Prepares for Future Work Skills	AI-powered education promotes lifelong learning, digital literacy, and flexibility.

### **Major Findings of the Study**

The major findings of the study are-

1. Results are improved by personalized learning AI makes it possible to customize the pace, style, and content for each learner, which enhances retention, comprehension, and engagement.
2. Effective systems that adjust to student performance, offer immediate feedback, and direct learning are known as intelligent tutoring systems (ITS). These systems can greatly improve learning, particularly in subjects like languages or mathematics.
3. Teachers' workload is decreased when administrative tasks are automated. AI frees up teacher time for more creative or interactive duties by assisting with grading, scheduling, content delivery, and student progress tracking.

4. Improved inclusion and accessibility AI tools, such as text-to-speech, speech-to-text, and translation capabilities, benefit students with disabilities as well as those who study in rural or underdeveloped places.
5. Instantaneous feedback and flexible evaluation Instead of waiting for final exams, students gain from continuous evaluation and feedback. AI is able to identify gaps and dynamically modify the student's demands.
6. Teachers and students must be AI literate Both instructors and students must comprehend AI tools, their limitations, and how to use them for deployment to be successful. One obstacle is a lack of AI literacy.
7. Privacy, bias, and ethical issues Important concerns include data privacy, algorithmic bias, equity, transparency, and the possibility of abuse (such as cheating).
8. Issues with inequality and the digital divide Inequality in education can be made worse by unequal access to technology, gadgets, or connectivity.
9. AI will support teachers rather than replace them. The best strategy is a synergy; AI cannot replace the fundamental attributes that human teachers contribute, such as empathy, creativity, and emotional support.
10. The significance of frameworks, policies, and governance Clear policies, regulations, and guidelines are required to address ethical concerns, guarantee quality, and direct the integration of AI.
11. A new trend in artificial intelligence (AI) and immersive technologies (AR/VR) combines AI with augmented reality, virtual reality, and other technologies to enhance the immersiveness of learning.
12. Effect on future skills and workforce readiness Education must equip students with abilities like flexibility, critical thinking, "learning how to learn," digital literacy, ethical awareness, etc. as AI changes the workplace.

## **Conclusion**

The paper highlights both the revolutionary potential of AI in transforming educational systems and the complex issues that need to be resolved to guarantee its responsible and fair application. The success of AI integration depends on more than simply technological prowess, even as it promises to improve personalization, expedite administrative processes, and produce actionable insights through learning analytics. The findings reveal that stakeholders particularly instructors and students with prior exposure to digital technologies exhibit increased receptivity to AI, suggesting that digital familiarity lessens fear and facilitates more constructive engagement with intelligent systems. **(Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019, pp. 68-71)** But exposure is not enough on its own. Effective implementation is made possible by strong technical infrastructure, institutional preparedness, and ongoing professional development. Long-term investment and leadership commitment are required to sustain these fundamental components.

The ethical and equitable aspects of implementing AI in education are equally important. AI technologies run the risk of duplicating or exacerbating current gaps if they are not carefully designed and supervised, especially for under-resourced institutions and vulnerable students. Problems like algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the digital divide are not incidental; rather, they are major obstacles that need to influence the development and

application of AI tools and regulations. In this sense, effective AI projects are ones that support human educators rather than replace them. These systems respect and uphold teachers' agency and empower them to make judgments based on their knowledge rather than leaving it up to black-box algorithms. The guiding concept must be augmentation rather than automation. **(Knox, 2020, pp. 210-214)**

A staged approach is recommended by the suggested roadmap for sustainable integration, which was created by synthesizing implementation case studies and stakeholder inputs. Continuous feedback loops, capacity-building initiatives, participatory design, and baseline assessments are given top priority. This framework's dedication to openness, human supervision, and flexibility guarantees that AI systems continue to be in line with educational objectives and the various demands of students. In order to shape AI's future in education, educational leaders, engineers, and legislators must work together in collaborative governance going ahead. To evaluate AI's long-term effects on curriculum, teaching strategies, student engagement, and social equity, more multidisciplinary research will be necessary. AI has the ability to enable more inclusive, flexible, and human-centered educational paradigms if it is used with integrity and forethought.

### **References**

1. Luckin, R. (2018), Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century UCL Institute of Education Press. pp. 102–105.
2. Selwyn, N. (2020), Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education Polity Press. pp. 67–70.
3. Eynon, R., & Young, T. (2021), Rethinking the digital divide in the age of AI: Ethical implications for education. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(6), pp.2078–2093.
4. Eynon, R., & Young, T. (2021), Rethinking the digital divide in the age of AI: Ethical implications for education. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(6), pp. 2078–2080.
5. Ferguson, R., & Clow, D. (2019), Explainable analytics: The next step for learning analytics? Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge, 1(1), pp. 38–40.
6. Holmes, W. et al. (2019), Artificial Intelligence in Education, pp. 90–92.
7. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019), Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning Center for Curriculum Redesign. pp. 45–48.
8. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019), Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning Center for Curriculum Redesign. pp. 23–26.
9. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019), Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning). Center for Curriculum Redesign. pp. 68–71
10. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning Center for Curriculum Redesign. pp. 72–74.
11. Knox, J. (2020), Artificial Intelligence and Education in the Global South: Critical perspectives. Learning, Media and Technology, 45(3), pp. 215–218.
12. Knox, J. (2020), Artificial Intelligence and Education in the Global South: Critical perspectives. Learning, Media and Technology, 45(3), pp. 208–222.

13. Knox, J. (2020), Artificial Intelligence and Education in the Global South: Critical perspectives. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), pp. 210–214.
14. Luckin, R. (2018), *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, UCL Institute of Education Press. pp. 55–59
15. Luckin, R. (2018), *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*. UCL Institute of Education Press. pp. 83–85.
16. Selwyn, N. (2020), *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*, Polity Press. pp. 34–38.
17. Selwyn, N. (2020), *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*, Polity Press. pp. 45–48.
18. UNESCO. (2021), *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-makers*, pp. 28–32.
19. UNESCO. (2021), *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-makers*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. pp. 14–16
20. Williamson, B. (2022), *Education and AI: A Critical Perspective* Routledge, pp. 91–96.
21. Williamson, B. (2022), *Education and AI: A Critical Perspective*. Routledge. pp. 95–98
22. Zhang, B. (2020), *Implementing AI in Higher Education: Lessons from Pilot Projects*. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 13(1), pp. 39–42.
23. Chiu, T. K. F., et al. (2022), *Systematic Literature Review on Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Research Recommendations of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, (Vol. 4), 2023, pp. 1-20.
24. Alfredo, R., Echeverria, V., Jin, Y., Yan, L., Swiecki, Z., Martinez Maldonado, R. (2023), *Human-Centred Learning Analytics and AI in Education: a Systematic Literature Review* ArXiv preprint. pp. 5-15.
25. Krause, S., Dalvi, A., Zaidi, S. K. (2025). *Generative AI in Education: Student Skills and Lecturer Roles* ArXiv preprint. pp. 30-45.
26. Yan, L., Sha, L., Zhao, L., Li, Y. & Martinez, Maldonado, R. et al. (2023). *Practical and Ethical Challenges of Large Language Models in Education: A Systematic Scoping Review*. ArXiv preprint. pp. 1-18
27. Mallik, S., Gangopadhyay, A. (2023). *Proactive and Reactive Engagement of Artificial Intelligence Methods for Education: A Review*, ArXiv preprint. pp. 12-30.
28. Singh, V. (2024), *A Systematic Literature Study on AI in Education*. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), pp. 15660-15670.